

REMARKS

After the foregoing amendment, claims 1-6, as amended, are pending in the application. Claims 1-6 have been amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Applicant submits that no new matter has been added to the application by the Amendment.

Objections to the Drawings

The Examiner objected to the figures because the figures do not show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Applicant has attached an Appendix to this Amendment, including replacement sheets and an annotated sheets for Figs. 1 and 4 showing changes for the Examiner's approval. The CPU 10C and 30C is shown in both Figs.1 and 4, the battery storage section 2 is shown in Fig 1, the power supply section 1 is shown in Fig. 1, the charge state optimization planning section 5A is shown in Fig 4. Having made the requested corrections, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections to the drawings.

Objection to the Specification

The Examiner objected to the specification because the claims have been referred to the body of the specification. While Applicant has been unable to find any prohibition in the Rules or in the MPEP for incorporating claim language into the specification, Applicant has rewritten those portions of the specification identified by the Examiner as claims into normal English sentences.

The Examiner has also objected to the specification for not providing support for the claimed subject matter "pre-determined direct current power" Applicant has amended claims 1 and 4 to delete the subject matter "pre-determined".

In view of the corrections, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections to the specification.

Objections to the Claims

The Examiner objected to claims 1-6. Claims 1-6 have been corrected as follows:

Claim 1: The phrase pre-determined has been deleted.

Claim 2: The subject matter "battery" has been added to the preamble.

Claim 3: The preamble has been rewritten to recite "The mobile information apparatus...".

Claim 4: The preamble has been rewritten to recite "a plan for an optimization of the charge state of a battery".

Claim 5: The subject matter "battery" has been added to the preamble.

Claim 6: The preamble has been rewritten to recite "The battery management server....".

Having made the requested corrections, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections to the drawings.

Conclusion

Insofar as the Examiner's objections and rejections have been fully addressed, the instant application, including claims 1-6, is in condition for allowance and Notice of Allowability of claims 1-6 is therefore earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

IICHIRO MORI

February 1, 2005
(Date)

By: 

LOUIS SICKLES II
Registration No. 45,803
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
One Commerce Square
2005 Market Street, Suite 2200
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7013
Telephone: 215-965-1200
Direct Dial: 215-965-1294
Facsimile: 215-965-1210
E-Mail: lsickles@akingump.com

LS:lcd

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figs. 1 and 4. These sheets, which include Figs. 1 and 4 replace the original sheets including Figs. 1 and 4. In Figs. 1 and 4, the elements included in the CPU have been enclosed in a box labeled CPU.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes



FIG. 1




FIG. 4

